

Administration Centre: 400 Clyde Road, P.O. Box 729 Cambridge, ON N1R 5W6

Phone: 519-621-2761 Toll free: 1-866-900-4722 Fax: 519-621-4844 www.grandriver.ca

February 2, 2021

Brent Taylor Ministry of the Environment, Conservation and Parks via Environmental Registry of Ontario

RE: ERO 019-2017 Proposed Implementation of Updates to Ontario's Water Quantity Management Framework

The Grand River Conservation Authority (GRCA) has reviewed the draft implementation guidance documents provided in ERO posting 019-2017. Detailed comments regarding the draft water quantity management implementation guidance documents are provided in a table at the end of this letter.

Key or overarching comments are summarized below:

- Provide clearer direction for when an area-based management strategy is initiated. Clearer direction could include triggers, criteria and processes that would require the PTTW director to consider an area-based strategy.
- Links to existing water management programs such as source water protection, municipal water supply planning, subwatershed studies, and the low water response program need to be recognized early on in the process when developing an area-based management strategy. Data and knowledge from these programs, where available, should form a basis for development of an area-based strategy as well as improve proactive water management in areas of constraint or increased growth pressures.
- The guidance should include a detailed engagement strategy (or a process to develop one) for engagement and collaboration with local agencies, e.g., conservation authorities, municipalities, indigenous communities etc., to take advantage of local knowledge and expertise, minimise duplication of effort and cost, and share resources/capacities. Ministry could delegate establishment of strategy or components of it to a local agency.

 The current draft guidance limits priorities of water use to existing conflicts and established water takers. The Ministry is encouraged to enhance the concept of priority of use by using it in conjunction with area-based management to proactively avoid conflicts and constraints.

Please feel free to contact me if you have any questions regarding these comments.

Sincerely,

Sthen Stift to

Stephanie Shifflett, P. Eng. Water Resources Engineer Grand River Conservation Authority

SS/

cc: Dwight Boyd, Director of Engineering, GRCA

Detailed Comment Table

Draft Guidance to Support Area-based Water Quantity Management	
Sections of Draft Guidance	CA Comments
Area-based Water Taking Management Strategy	 Support replacing high use watershed maps and related policy with adaptable area-based water quantity management strategies to assess cumulative water takings. The guidance document is fairly vague on particulars and, although this will allow for flexibility, it also leads to ambiguity and confusion as to when an area-based strategy is required, the extent of the area and details such as funding and timelines. While there is agreement that there is no one size fits all strategy approach across the province and there will need to be flexibility in terms of the process of how a strategy is developed, there should be greater certainty and direction as to when an area-based strategy is required, which should be included in regulation.
	 The guidance would benefit from integrating and including local knowledge and expertise from conservation authorities, indigenous peoples and municipalities and spelling out in more detail how this will be achieved. By utilizing local knowledge, expertise, and assessments the ministry can avoid costly duplication and focus on areas that would most benefit from an area-based strategy. Developing area-based approaches in some watersheds will be complex and the area may be extensive. For example, in the Grand River watershed, there may be multiple bedrock and/or overburden aquifers that can encompass large areas. Piloting/testing the approach to establish the geographic boundaries for area-based strategies should be considered. Area-based strategies should be large enough in scale to take into account multiple water users and potential concerns with cumulative impacts instead of focusing on a small local area of concern. Defining the limits of an area-based strategy in this manner will better allow for the assessment of potential impacts. Well-defined geological conceptual models will be critical in areas where a groundwater-based
	 strategy is proposed. The Ontario Geological Survey (OGS) should be engaged in areas where a groundwater area-based strategy is anticipated to ensure the best geological information can be incorporated into the study. Priorities should be identified where improved geological information would benefit the area-based strategy. The area-based strategy should include flexibility to define regulated reaches of a river downstream of large multipurpose reservoirs as geographic areas to manage permits. In the Grand River watershed this includes portions of the Grand River, Conestogo River and Speed

	River downstream of large multipurpose reservoirs. The proposed framework needs to have provisions to incorporate reservoir operating policies and flow augment targets developed in previous provincially led studies like the Grand River Basin Management Plan (1982) and recent municipal water supply plans, waste water assimilation studies and natural environment needs. This type of comprehensive area-based approach is important since a new surface water taking could have significant implications in an area like the Grand River where significant planning and infrastructure investment has been put in place to manage water quantity and quality.
Considerations for Initiating a Water Taking Management Strategy	 Considerations for initiating a strategy should be proactive rather than only retroactive (i.e. after well interference, water level decline or ecosystem degradation) to not just respond to stresses, but also avoid creating stressed areas. Proactively establishing water management strategies would reduce overall costs, conflicts and long term degradation of water resources and would lead to economic benefits and the outcomes desired from the water quantity management framework. Source protection water quantity studies, watershed studies, water management plans, municipal water supply master planning studies, waste water assimilative capacity studies, and ecological flow studies, where available, should be included as starting points for assessment of stressed areas and whether a strategy is needed. Approach to building a strategy should be based on broader framework that includes other sources of information, context and inclusion of other agencies. There is a need to have clear procedures for how to request a strategy by water managers outside of the MECP. Triggers for water taking strategies should include recommendations and requests from source water protection authorities and recommendations and requests from municipal water supply master supply master waste water supply studies.
Preliminary Assessment	 Engagement with stakeholders should be started early in the process to minimize conflict. Early engagement will strengthen the integration of other agencies in the assessment and reduce duplication of efforts by recognizing and acknowledging local work/studies, knowledge, and expertise. Discussion of results of the preliminary assessment needs to be carried out with stakeholders prior to an ERO posting. More detail is needed on how the director will determine if an area is or could become stressed and when a strategy is required.

	 The focus of the preliminary assessment appears to be at a fairly small scale (e.g. cluster of groundwater takings or segment of a stream). Often a larger scale is needed to understand issues around water quantity stresses. The preliminary assessment may need to be broader in scope than the final area used for the area-based strategy.
Preparing a Water Taking Management Strategy	 Leverage information from Tier 3 source protection studies, where available (models, technical recommendations, and local knowledge from completed studies). There should be more focus on cumulative impacts and collective monitoring. At a technical scale, it will require a champion/leader to manage and consolidate collective data. The current PTTW program is focused on individual reporting. This will have to change to integrate in areabased management. Details on how priorities of water use will be integrated into an area-based strategy are needed. Significant effort and expense has been invested in the creation of Tier 3 water budget models. Area-based management strategies should leverage, build upon these models, and maintain and update these models into the future. The goal should be to have a common model and base information to support the proposed water quantity management framework, source water protection planning, master water supply planning and other water management framework is needed and a custodian for model management needs to be considered. A cost sharing framework is needed to manage, update and maintain the decision support models. Creating a model management framework will create efficiencies and provide a consistent information base that can be continually maintained and improved over time. In preparation of an area-based water management strategy, an assessment of the state of water use and a conceptual model of the water resources are needed to identify the potential area to consider for management. Depending on the complexity, numeric modelling may be required to model stage is very importsed conditions applying alternative management options to optimize and manage water used. The conceptual model stage is very importsed conditions applying alternative management options to optimize and manage deta resource, facilitating timely decisions of low risk takings. It is also the basis for creating a detailed numeric
	water Tier 3 water budget model, including an irrigation demand model, has been completed at the subwatershed scale. The Whitemans Creek watershed could offer a pilot area for the MECP

	to test the development of an area-based water management strategy to manage permitted
	taking. Leveraging the information in models that currently exist is encouraged.
	- An outreach program was used by the GRCA in the Whiteman's Creek watershed to promote off
	line storage and better understanding of crop irrigation needs by working with farmers. The
	project was a funded program over approximately 2 years and was well received by the local
	agricultural community. The project promoted increased water use efficiency and resiliency by
	encouraging the creation of off line storage. Experience gained from this program may be of
	assistances to the ministry.
	- The Provincial Groundwater Monitoring Network (PGMN) could play an instrumental role in
	helping to monitor and manage water in a given area. Increased real-time data collection of
	PGMN data is encouraged. Potentially expanding this network or reviewing location of PGMN
	wells to better support the permit to take water program should be considered.
	- In areas where area-based strategies are implemented, there should be annual reporting on
	monitoring and assessment activities. Regular reporting on a strategy is an important
	consideration.
Engaging Water Users, Local Stakeholders, and	- Engagement is loosely defined and appears to vary by strategy. A well-defined engagement
Indigenous Communities on a Water Taking Management Strategy	plan should be developed and shared with conservation authorities, municipalities, indigenous communities, and local stakeholders prior to working on the strategy so stakeholders are clear
	on the involvement and engagement processes.
	- Engagement needs to be undertaken at all stages of the process.
	Engagement needs to be undertaken at an stages of the process.
Aligning a Water Taking Management Strategy	- More detail is needed on how other Provincial Policies and Programs will be integrated into
with Other Provincial Policies and Programs	area-based strategies.
	- This could be accomplished through the development stage by bringing local stakeholders to
	the table in the beginning. Many of the other programs listed are administered by other
	agencies and they will therefore need to be at the table early in the process to ensure the
	programs align.
	- Thresholds identified by the Director need to align with the low water response program
	thresholds for a given area.
	- The Ministry will also need to ensure there is alignment with municipal water supply master
	plans, municipal waste water master plans, multi-purpose reservoir water management plans and expected long term needs as well as incorporating source protection studies and align with
	recommendations from technical work.

 The Ministry will also need to ensure there is alignment with watershed water management plans where they exist. There is a need for an overarching provincial water management framework, a permit to take water strategy is just one element of an overall provincial water management framework. 	
--	--

Draft Guidance to Support Priorities of Water Use	
Sections of Draft Guidance	CA Comments
Overall	 Guidance states that priority of water use is only applied to established water users in areas of a water shortage. This is very reactive. Priority of use could be used as a proactive tool to secure water supplies for high priority use. Combining priority of water use with area-based water quantity strategies would be a very proactive way of managing water resources in areas of conflict or constraint. Better linkage with Water Quantity Threats through source water protection plans is needed. The Ministry should consider future municipal water supply needs, as identified in municipal long term water supply plans (EA's), when applying priority of water use. The Ministry should also consider future municipal master waste water planning needs, as identified in municipal waste water plan (EA's), when applying priority of water use.
What are the Priorities of Water Use?	 More detail is required on what to do for conflict within a priority level. Wetlands are missing from the list of environmental needs for water. Wetlands need to be included in this list as an environmental water use.
When do the Priorities of Water Use Apply?	- The ministry should consider using priority of use beyond "a tool of last resort" to proactively manage water takings in areas of potential conflict or areas with an area-based management strategy.
How do the Priorities of Water Use Apply?	 The guidance states that focus is on modifying existing permits and not on denial of a permit if the use is a lower priority in a stressed area. Denial or cancellation of a permit may be required in highly stressed areas to maintain water for high priority uses. More details are needed on how non-permitted uses, especially the natural environment, will be included in decisions.

Other Considerations for Applying Priorities of Water Use	 Focus is on existing (established) water users in an area, the guidance document lacks discussion on long term water supply planning or water supply master plans for municipalities. There was no mention of growth targets for development and the need to secure water supplies to meet growth targets. Guidance should better integrate municipal needs as expressed in municipal water supply master plans and how priority of use support other provincial requirements such as growth targets. The ability to set collective expiry dates is important. In areas where permitted takings have been over allocated the ability to set collective expiry dates may be needed to allow cumulative impacts to be managed.
--	---

General Comments	
Proposed Implementation of Updates to Ontario's Water Quantity Management Framework	 Provide clearer direction for when an area-based management strategy is initiated. Clearer direction could include triggers, criteria and processes that would require the PTTW director to consider an area-based strategy. Links to existing water management programs such as source water protection, municipal water supply planning, subwatershed studies, and the low water response program need to be recognized early on in the process when developing an area-based management strategy. Data and knowledge from these programs, where available, should form a basis for development of an area-based strategy as well as improve proactive water management in areas of constraint or increased growth pressures. The guidance should include a detailed engagement strategy (or a process to develop one) for engagement and collaboration with local agencies, e.g., conservation authorities, municipalities, indigenous communities etc., to take advantage of local knowledge and expertise, minimize duplication of effort and cost, and share resources/capacities. Ministry could delegate establishment of strategy or components of it to a local agency. The current draft guidance limits priorities of water use to existing conflicts and established water takers. The Ministry is encouraged to enhance the concept of priority of use by using it in conjunction with area-based management to proactively avoid conflicts and constraints.